

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

6

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,872	03/26/2004	Shinji Hikita	Q80449	7620
23373 7590 02/21/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
SUITE 800	)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20037		1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/809,872	HIKITA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1791
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed iii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  Lety filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/26/04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

1.Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4, line 2, "the surface layer" lacks antecedent basis. Also, given the recitation in claim 4, it is not clear whether applicant intends the surface layer—or the entire support member—in claims 2 and 3 to have the instant elastic modulus. Clarification is needed.

2.The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakamura et al (see paragraphs 0133-0136).

Nakamura et al discloses the instant method, apparatus and film made therefrom comprising steps and means for nipping an antireflection film on a transparent support base with an embossing member and a support member, the embossing member being a steel roll and the support member being a back-up roll covered with polyamide. It is submitted inherent that the embossing roll, by providing the antiglare treatment, would have the instant "plurality of convexes and concaves". Also, since the support member has a polymeric layer covering it, it is submitted inherent that any pressure applied thereto would be dispersed therein due to the

10/809,872 Art Unit: 1791

polymer's relative flexibility—as compared to steel. Clearly, the polyamide layer of the support member would have a pencil hardness less than that of the steel embosser. Paragraph 0136 discloses that the embosser is heated to 140 deg C, and this would require some heating device or means. Whether this temperature is above the glass transition temperature of the support base constitutes a functional limitation which the heating device of Nakamura et al would inherently be capable of performing.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al.

The applied reference discloses the basic claimed invention as set forth in paragraph 1, supra, Nakamura et al essentially lacking a clear teaching of the exact elastic modulus of the support member and the pencil hardness of the surface layer of the support member. It is submitted that these exact values would have been obvious dependent on the exact material used for the support member and how resilient one of ordinary skill would want it to be to absorb and dissipate the pressing force. Elastomer and polymer coated rollers are typically used in the art for this purpose.

10/809.872

Art Unit: 1791

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on 571 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

M. Vargot February 15, 2008

Mathieu D. Vargot **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1791

4. Varget

2/15/08